

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.00892>

An effective proof of the p -curvature conjecture for order one linear differential equations

joint work with Florian Fürnsinn.

Lucas Pannier

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, UVSQ
CNRS UMR-8100



October 6th 2025
EThén school in number theory.



UFR des Sciences
CAMPUS DE VERSAILLES

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Rational

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Algebraic series

$y(x)$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if $\exists P(x, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, Y], P(x, y(x)) = 0$.

Algebraic

Rational

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Algebraic series

$y(x)$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if $\exists P(x, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, Y], P(x, y(x)) = 0$.

$\rightarrow y(x) = (1-x)^{2/5} = 1 - \frac{2}{5}x + \frac{6}{50}x^2 + \dots, y(x)^5 - (x-1)^2 = 0$.

Algebraic

Rational

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Algebraic series

$y(x)$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if $\exists P(x, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, Y]$, $P(x, y(x)) = 0$.

$\rightarrow y(x) = (1 - x)^{2/5} = 1 - \frac{2}{5}x + \frac{6}{50}x^2 + \dots$, $y(x)^5 - (x - 1)^2 = 0$.

D-finite series

$y(x)$ is **D-finite** if $\exists a_0(x), \dots, a_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ not all zero such that $a_r(x)y^{(r)}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)y(x) = 0$.

D-finite

Algebraic

Rational

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Algebraic series

$y(x)$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if $\exists P(x, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, Y]$, $P(x, y(x)) = 0$.

$\rightarrow y(x) = (1 - x)^{2/5} = 1 - \frac{2}{5}x + \frac{6}{50}x^2 + \dots$, $y(x)^5 - (x - 1)^2 = 0$.

D-finite series

$y(x)$ is **D-finite** if $\exists a_0(x), \dots, a_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ not all zero such that $a_r(x)y^{(r)}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)y(x) = 0$.

$\rightarrow y(x) = \exp(x^2 + 1)$ satisfies $y'(x) - 2xy(x) = 0$.

D-finite

Algebraic

Rational

Power series hierarchy

$$y(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$$

Algebraic series

$y(x)$ is **algebraic** over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if $\exists P(x, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, Y]$, $P(x, y(x)) = 0$.

D-finite series

$y(x)$ is **D-finite** if $\exists a_0(x), \dots, a_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ not all zero such that $a_r(x)y^{(r)}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)y(x) = 0$.

Theorem (Abel, 1827)

Algebraic series are **D-finite**.

D-finite

Algebraic

Rational

Deciding algebraicity

Abel's problem

Let $u(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}(x)}$, decide if the nonzero solutions of $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ are algebraic.

Deciding algebraicity

Abel's problem

Let $u(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}(x)}$, decide if the nonzero solutions of $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ are algebraic.

[Risch, 1971], [Baldassari-Dwork, 1979], [Davenport, 1981], Risch's algorithm.

Deciding algebraicity

Abel's problem

Let $u(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}(x)}$, decide if the nonzero solutions of $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ are algebraic.

[Risch, 1971], [Baldassari-Dwork, 1979], [Davenport, 1981], Risch's algorithm.

Special case of Grothendieck's conjecture, [Chudnovsky², 1985]

All solutions of $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if and only if for almost all prime numbers p , all solutions of $y'(x) = (u(x) \bmod p)y(x)$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$.

The p -curvature conjecture

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = u(x)y(x) & \text{(Eq)} \\ y'(x) = (u(x) \bmod p)y(x) & \text{(Eq)}_p \end{cases} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}(x).$$

The p -curvature conjecture

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = u(x)y(x) & \text{(Eq)} \\ y'(x) = (u(x) \bmod p)y(x) & \text{(Eq)}_p \end{cases} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}(x).$$

To $(\text{Eq})_p$, attach the p -curvature $u^{(p-1)}(x) + u(x)^p \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ [Jacobson, 1937].

The p -curvature conjecture

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = u(x)y(x) & \text{(Eq)} \\ y'(x) = (u(x) \bmod p)y(x) & \text{(Eq)}_p \end{cases} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}(x).$$

To $(\text{Eq})_p$, attach the p -curvature $u^{(p-1)}(x) + u(x)^p \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ [Jacobson, 1937].

Special case of Cartier's Lemma

The p -curvature is zero if and only if $(\text{Eq})_p$ has a basis of algebraic solutions.

The p -curvature conjecture

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = u(x)y(x) & \text{(Eq)} \\ y'(x) = (u(x) \bmod p)y(x) & \text{(Eq)}_p \end{cases} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}(x).$$

To $(\text{Eq})_p$, attach the p -curvature $u^{(p-1)}(x) + u(x)^p \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ [Jacobson, 1937].

Special case of Cartier's Lemma

The p -curvature is zero if and only if $(\text{Eq})_p$ has a basis of algebraic solutions.

Special case of Grothendieck's p -curvature conjecture, [Chudnovsky², 1985; Honda, 1974]

All solutions of (Eq) are algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ if and only if for almost all prime numbers p , the p -curvature of $(\text{Eq})_p$ vanishes.

From p -curvatures to polynomials

Theorem (Honda, 1974)

The p -curvature conjecture holds for equations $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ with $u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}$, $a(x), b(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$.

From p -curvatures to polynomials

Theorem (Honda, 1974)

The p -curvature conjecture holds for equations $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ with $u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}$, $a(x), b(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$.

Theorem (Kronecker, 1880; Chebotarev, 1926)

Let $R(w) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$ be irreducible. If for almost all prime numbers p the polynomial $R(w) \bmod p$ has a root in $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $R(w)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Q}$, and hence it is linear.

From p -curvatures to polynomials

Theorem (Honda, 1974)

The p -curvature conjecture holds for equations $y'(x) = u(x)y(x)$ with $u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}$, $a(x), b(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$.

Theorem (Kronecker, 1880; Chebotarev, 1926)

Let $R(w) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$ be irreducible. If for almost all prime numbers p the polynomial $R(w) \bmod p$ has a root in $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $R(w)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Q}$, and hence it is linear.

Spoiler: Honda's Theorem is equivalent to Kronecker's Theorem.

Theorem (Rothstein, 1976; Trager, 1976)

Let $u(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$ be a rational function of the form

$$u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\alpha_i}{x - \beta_i},$$

with $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then the residues α_i are precisely the roots of

$$R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}[w].$$

Proof of Honda's Theorem

$$y'(x) = u(x)y(x) \text{ (Eq)} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}, \text{ and } R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)).$$

Proof of Honda's Theorem

$$y'(x) = u(x)y(x) \text{ (Eq) with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}, \text{ and } R(w) := \operatorname{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)).$$

Proposition (Folklore; Honda, 1981)

The following are equivalent:

- (1) (Eq) has an *algebraic* solution.
- (2) We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$,
all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and
 $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}[w]$.

Proof of Honda's Theorem

$$y'(x) = u(x)y(x) \text{ (Eq) with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}, \text{ and } R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)).$$

Proposition (Folklore; Honda, 1981)

The following are equivalent:

- (1) (Eq) has an *algebraic* solution.
- (2) We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}[w]$.

Proposition (Honda, 1981)

Let p be a prime number. TFAE:

- (1)_p (Eq)_p has an *algebraic* solution in $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$.
- (2)_p We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_p[w]$.
- (3)_p We have $u(x)^p + u^{(p-1)}(x) \bmod p = 0$.

Proof of Honda's Theorem

$$y'(x) = u(x)y(x) \text{ (Eq) with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}, \text{ and } R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)).$$

Proposition (Folklore; Honda, 1981)

The following are equivalent:

- (1) (Eq) has an *algebraic* solution.
- (2) We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}[w]$.

Proposition (Honda, 1981)

Let p be a prime number. TFAE:

- (1)_p (Eq)_p has an *algebraic* solution in $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$.
- (2)_p We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_p[w]$.
- (3)_p We have $u(x)^p + u^{(p-1)}(x) \bmod p = 0$.

Kronecker's Theorem: (2)_p for almost all prime numbers p implies (2).

Proof of Honda's Theorem

$$y'(x) = u(x)y(x) \text{ (Eq) with } u(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}, \text{ and } R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)).$$

Proposition (Folklore; Honda, 1981)

The following are equivalent:

- (1) (Eq) has an *algebraic* solution.
- (2) We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}[w]$.

Proposition (Honda, 1981)

Let p be a prime number. TFAE:

- (1)_p (Eq)_p has an *algebraic* solution in $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$.
- (2)_p We have $\deg a(x) < \deg b(x)$, all poles of $u(x)$ are simple, and $R(w)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_p[w]$.
- (3)_p We have $u(x)^p + u^{(p-1)}(x) \bmod p = 0$.

Kronecker's Theorem: (2)_p for almost all prime numbers p implies (2).

Can we deduce (2) from (2)_p for a *finite* number of primes?

Theorem (Chudnovsky², 1985)

Let $R(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[w]$ with leading coefficient $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

There exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(w)$ splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $R(w) \bmod p$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all primes p :

- *not dividing Δ ,*
- *at most σ .*

Effective Kronecker

Theorem (Chudnovsky², 1985)

Let $R(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[w]$ with leading coefficient $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

There exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(w)$ splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $R(w) \bmod p$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all primes p :

- not dividing Δ ,
- at most σ .

Theorem (Fürnsinn-P., 2025+)

In the previous theorem, one can choose $\sigma = (2M + 1)N + 2M$ with $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := \lceil 6.076BM \rceil$, where $t(\Delta) := \prod_{p|\Delta} p^{1/(p-1)}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound on the modulus of all complex roots of $R(w)$.

Effective Kronecker

Theorem (Chudnovsky², 1985)

Let $R(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[w]$ with leading coefficient $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

There exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(w)$ splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $R(w) \bmod p$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all primes p :

- not dividing Δ ,
- at most σ .

Theorem (Fürnsinn-P., 2025+)

In the previous theorem, one can choose $\sigma = (2M + 1)N + 2M$ with $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := \lceil 6.076BM \rceil$, where $t(\Delta) := \prod_{p|\Delta} p^{1/(p-1)}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound on the modulus of all complex roots of $R(w)$.

Criterion: If $p \leq \sigma$, $p \nmid \Delta$ and $R(w) \bmod p$ does not split completely in $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $R(w)$ does not split completely in $\mathbb{Q}$.

Hermite-Padé approximation

Given power series $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomials $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg(P_i(x)) \leq n$ and

$$P_1(x)f_1(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f_r(x) \in x^s\mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

Hermite-Padé approximation

Given power series $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomials $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg(P_i(x)) \leq n$ and

$$P_1(x)f_1(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f_r(x) \in x^s \mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

- $r(n+1)$ indeterminates, s linear homogeneous equations

Hermite-Padé approximation

Given power series $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomials $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg(P_i(x)) \leq n$ and

$$P_1(x)f_1(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f_r(x) \in x^s\mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

- $r(n+1)$ indeterminates, s linear homogeneous equations $\Rightarrow s = r(n+1) - 1$.

Hermite-Padé approximation

Given power series $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomials $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg(P_i(x)) \leq n$ and

$$P_1(x)f_1(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f_r(x) \in x^s\mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

- $r(n+1)$ indeterminates, s linear homogeneous equations $\Rightarrow s = r(n+1) - 1$.
[Hermite, 1873] e is transcendental, [Padé, 1894], [Mahler, 1931].

Hermite-Padé approximation

Given power series $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomials $P_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg(P_i(x)) \leq n$ and

$$P_1(x)f_1(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f_r(x) \in x^s\mathbb{Q}[[x]].$$

- $r(n+1)$ indeterminates, s linear homogeneous equations $\Rightarrow s = r(n+1) - 1$.
[Hermite, 1873] e is transcendental, [Padé, 1894], [Mahler, 1931].

Idea to prove algebraicity: With $f_i(x) = f^{i-1}(x)$, $f(x)$ is algebraic if and only if for the optimal P_i 's, the remainder $P_1(x) + P_2(x)f(x) + \dots + P_r(x)f^{r-1}(x)$ vanishes for large n, r .

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof.

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof. By contradiction, assume $R(w)$ has a root $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Write $L := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof. By contradiction, assume $R(w)$ has a root $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Write $L := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We know **explicit** Hermite-Padé approximants $P_i(z) \in L[z]$, $\deg(P_i(z)) \leq N$ to the powers of $(1 - z)^\alpha$

$$P_0(z) + P_1(z)(1 - z)^\alpha + \cdots + P_{2M}(z)(1 - z)^{2M\alpha} = gz^\sigma + O(z^{\sigma+1})$$

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof. By contradiction, assume $R(w)$ has a root $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Write $L := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We know **explicit** Hermite-Padé approximants $P_i(z) \in L[z]$, $\deg(P_i(z)) \leq N$ to the powers of $(1 - z)^\alpha$

$$P_0(z) + P_1(z)(1 - z)^\alpha + \cdots + P_{2M}(z)(1 - z)^{2M\alpha} = gz^\sigma + O(z^{\sigma+1})$$

$$\text{with } \sigma = (2M + 1)N + 2M, g = \frac{N!^{2M+1}}{\sigma!} \in \mathbb{Q}^*, P_0(0) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2M} \binom{j\alpha + N - 1}{N} \right)^{-1}.$$

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof. By contradiction, assume $R(w)$ has a root $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Write $L := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We know **explicit** Hermite-Padé approximants $P_i(z) \in L[z]$, $\deg(P_i(z)) \leq N$ to the powers of $(1 - z)^\alpha$

$$P_0(z) + P_1(z)(1 - z)^\alpha + \cdots + P_{2M}(z)(1 - z)^{2M\alpha} = gz^\sigma + O(z^{\sigma+1})$$

$$\text{with } \sigma = (2M + 1)N + 2M, g = \frac{N!^{2M+1}}{\sigma!} \in \mathbb{Q}^*, P_0(0) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2M} \binom{j\alpha + N - 1}{N} \right)^{-1}.$$

$$\text{For all } \gamma \in L \setminus \{0\}, \underbrace{\left| \text{den}(\gamma)^{[L:\mathbb{Q}]} \text{Norm}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) \right|}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \geq 1.$$

Chudnovskys' proof of Kronecker's Theorem

Proof. By contradiction, assume $R(w)$ has a root $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Write $L := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We know **explicit** Hermite-Padé approximants $P_i(z) \in L[z]$, $\deg(P_i(z)) \leq N$ to the powers of $(1 - z)^\alpha$

$$P_0(z) + P_1(z)(1 - z)^\alpha + \cdots + P_{2M}(z)(1 - z)^{2M\alpha} = gz^\sigma + O(z^{\sigma+1})$$

$$\text{with } \sigma = (2M + 1)N + 2M, g = \frac{N!^{2M+1}}{\sigma!} \in \mathbb{Q}^*, P_0(0) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2M} \binom{j\alpha + N - 1}{N} \right)^{-1}.$$

$$\text{For all } \gamma \in L \setminus \{0\}, \underbrace{\left| \text{den}(\gamma)^{[L:\mathbb{Q}]} \text{Norm}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) \right|}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \geq 1.$$

Construct $\gamma_{M,N} \in L$, $\gamma_{M,N} \neq 0$, such that when $N \gg M \gg 0$,

$$\left| \text{den}(\gamma_{M,N})^{[L:\mathbb{Q}]} \text{Norm}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma_{M,N}) \right| < 1.$$

Corollary [Chudnovsky², 1985; Fürnsinn-P., 2025+]

Let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg(a(x)) < n := \deg(b(x))$ and

$R(w) := \operatorname{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$,

with leading coefficient $\Delta := \operatorname{res}_x(b(x), -b'(x))$, $t := \prod_{p|\Delta} p^{1/(p-1)}$.

Let $B \in \mathbb{R}$ be an upper bound on the modulus of all complex roots of $R(w)$.

Let $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$ and $N := \lceil 6.076BM \rceil$.

All **solutions** of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$ are **algebraic** if and only if the **p -curvatures** of the differential equation vanish for all primes p :

- not dividing Δ ;
- at most $\sigma := (2M + 1)N + 2M$.

New algorithm and complexity

Input $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $b(x)$ squarefree, $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x))$.

Output The nature (algebraic or transcendental) of the solutions of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$.

New algorithm and complexity

Input $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $b(x)$ squarefree, $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x))$.

Output The nature (**algebraic** or **transcendental**) of the solutions of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$.

1. $R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$, Δ, t, B ;
2. $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := 10BM$, $\sigma := (2M + 1)N + 2M$, $p \leftarrow 2$;
3. **while** $p \leq \sigma$:
 - i. **if** $p \nmid \Delta$, **then** compute the p -curvature;
 - ii. **if** p -curvature $\neq 0$, **then** return **transcendental**, **else** $p \leftarrow \text{nextprime}(p)$;
4. return **algebraic**.

New algorithm and complexity

Input $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $b(x)$ squarefree, $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x)) = n$. Coefficients bounded by H .

Output The nature (algebraic or transcendental) of the solutions of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$.

1. $R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$, Δ, t, B ; $\tilde{O}(n^2 \log(H))$ bit operations
 2. $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := 10BM$, $\sigma := (2M + 1)N + 2M$, $p \leftarrow 2$;
 3. **while** $p \leq \sigma$: $\tilde{O}(n^2 \sigma)$ bit operations
 - i. **if** $p \nmid \Delta$, **then** compute the p -curvature;
 - ii. **if** p -curvature $\neq 0$, **then** return **transcendental**, **else** $p \leftarrow \text{nextprime}(p)$;
 4. return algebraic.
- Computing p -curvatures, [Bostan-Schost, 2009]

New algorithm and complexity

Input $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $b(x)$ squarefree, $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x)) = n$. Coefficients bounded by H .

Output The nature (algebraic or transcendental) of the solutions of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$.

1. $R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$, Δ, t, B ; $\tilde{O}(n^2 \log(H))$ bit operations
 2. $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := 10BM$, $\sigma := (2M + 1)N + 2M$, $p \leftarrow 2$;
 3. **while** $p \leq \sigma$: $\tilde{O}(n^2 \sigma)$ bit operations
 - i. **if** $p \nmid \Delta$, **then** compute the p -curvature;
 - ii. **if** p -curvature $\neq 0$, **then** return **transcendental**, **else** $p \leftarrow \text{nextprime}(p)$;
 4. return algebraic.
- Computing p -curvatures, [Bostan-Schost, 2009]
 - $\sigma = \tilde{O}((Hn)^{12n})$.

New algorithm and complexity

Input $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $b(x)$ squarefree, $\deg(a(x)) < \deg(b(x)) = n$. Coefficients bounded by H .

Output The nature (algebraic or transcendental) of the solutions of $y'(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)}y(x)$.

1. $R(w) := \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[w]$, Δ, t, B ; $\tilde{O}(n^2 \log(H))$ bit operations
 2. $M := \lceil 2.826 \cdot \Delta^3 \cdot t(\Delta) \rceil$, $N := 10BM$, $\sigma := (2M + 1)N + 2M$, $p \leftarrow 2$;
 3. **while** $p \leq \sigma$: $\tilde{O}((Hn)^{12n})$ bit operations
 - i. **if** $p \nmid \Delta$, **then** compute the p -curvature;
 - ii. **if** p -curvature $\neq 0$, **then** return **transcendental**, **else** $p \leftarrow \text{nextprime}(p)$;
 4. return algebraic.
- Computing p -curvatures, [Bostan-Schost, 2009]
 - $\sigma = \tilde{O}((Hn)^{12n})$.

Comparison

Alternative approaches: Given $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most n and coefficients at most H , one can either compute $R(w) = \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x))$ and find its rational roots, or compute the indicial equations to decide transcendence.

Alternative approaches: Given $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most n and coefficients at most H , one can either compute $R(w) = \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x))$ and find its rational roots, or compute the indicial equations to decide transcendence.

- Polynomial in n and $\log(H)$.

Alternative approaches: Given $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most n and coefficients at most H , one can either compute $R(w) = \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x))$ and find its rational roots, or compute the indicial equations to decide transcendence.

- Polynomial in n and $\log(H)$.
- For $u(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1}$, $\sigma = 1926284$.

Alternative approaches: Given $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most n and coefficients at most H , one can either compute $R(w) = \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x))$ and find its rational roots, or compute the indicial equations to decide transcendence.

- Polynomial in n and $\log(H)$.
- For $u(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1}$, $\sigma = 1926284$. Runtime: ≈ 8 min for p -curvatures vs < 1 ms.

Comparison

Alternative approaches: Given $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most n and coefficients at most H , one can either compute $R(w) = \text{res}_x(b(x), a(x) - w \cdot b'(x))$ and find its rational roots, or compute the indicial equations to decide transcendence.

- Polynomial in n and $\log(H)$.
- For $u(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1}$, $\sigma = 1926284$. Runtime: ≈ 8 min for p -curvatures vs < 1 ms.
→ Try on random examples that will return transcendental.

Comparison

- Polynomial in n and $\log(H)$.
- For $u(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1}$, $\sigma = 1926284$. Runtime: ≈ 8 min for p -curvatures vs < 1 ms.
→ Try on random examples that will return transcendental.

Degree	Height	p -curv	IE	RT+RR
10	2^{10}	1 ms	12 ms	3 ms
20	2^{10}	2 ms	24 ms	10 ms
20	2^{20}	2 ms	25 ms	21 ms
160	2^{10}	0.4 s	1.8 s	2.4 s
160	2^{20}	0.4 s	1.9 s	4.0 s

Table: Average computation time of algorithms deciding transcendence of solutions on random rational function inputs of prescribed degree and height.

Make all proved cases of Grothendieck's p -curvature conjecture effective.

Make all proved cases of Grothendieck's p -curvature conjecture effective.

Thank you for your attention.